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| **REVIEW RESPONSE** | |
| **Reviewer A Comments** | **Responses** |
| **TITLE**  **Reviewer A**  What is the purpose of your theme? The law of animal slaughter in various countries or what comparison???  **Reviewer B**  - | The purpose of our study is a comparative legal analysis of stunning regulations in halal slaughter between Muslim-majority and Muslim-minority countries.  We change the title with:  Comparative Legal Analysis of Stunning Methods in Halal Animal Slaughter Between Muslim-Majority and Muslim-Minority Countries |
| **ABSTRACT**  **Reviewer A**  Looking at your discussion, there is no normative legal study, overall you only apply qualitative studies like others. Normative studies should provide discussions about laws or regulations or legal bases of Islam (Qur'an Hadith).  This description, in our opinion, includes the results. So if you want to express the background here, then the research background, namely the reason you are conducting research with this focus.  **Reviewer B**  The abstract has not been written in 250 words, make sure it is not far from the limit we have determined.  There is no originality of findings and limitations of the study. What you mentioned is the impact or recommendation of your research. This is just an impact, not a research finding. Seeing the purpose of the research, you should answer how the regulations are in various countries, how in minority and majority countries, how the regulations can be different even though they are under the same religion (Islam). | We have reviewed and corrected it according to your suggestions and instructions. |
| **INTRODUCTION**  **Reviewer A**   * Make sure your introduction contains background, theory and previous studies * There is no more explicit theoretical basis description. For example, how the theory of Islamic law in halal slaughter is related to the concept of animal welfare. The theory of Muslim consumer compliance with the halal label and how this affects the global market can also be strengthened. * It is necessary to add the problems that are the basis of this research, for example the existence of different regulations regarding stunning in halal slaughter which has sparked debate in various countries. * There is no literature review, follow the journal guidelines with IMRAD format, theory review is included in the introduction   **Reviewer B**  In general, the background is quite clear regarding the growth of the halal industry and the importance of halal slaughter. However, this section could be strengthened by providing more detailed data on the contribution of the halal industry to the global economy and Islamic countries specifically.  Add a discussion of previous research findings on Muslim responses to stunning, as well as regulations in place in several countries. It would also be a good idea to explicitly explain the research gap, so that the reasons why this research is important become stronger. This should be written before you outline the research objectives. | We have reviewed and corrected it according to your suggestions and instructions. |
| **METHOD**  **Reviewer A**  The framework used in the SLR – for example, did the study follow PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) or another specific method? There are obviously many models of SLR, which one do you choose?.  Literature search strategy – for example, using specific keywords such as “halal slaughter regulation,” “stunning in halal meat,” or “Islamic law on stunning” in a particular database that is not yet in your method  Details data analysis techniques, including coding stages and comparative approaches.  We need an explanation, you describe one by one the countries used as research subjects. The table is only an auxiliary instrument, do not use it as the main material or source. Where you only rely on this table which has minimal information, does not provide complete data  **Reviewer B**  Explain further why the normative legal approach is chosen in the context of halal and stunning regulations. What is the definition and also how is it related to the research process?  Please state the academic databases used, for example Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, ProQuest, DOAJ, or LexisNexis for legal documents. The year of publication of the literature searched, is there a limit for example the last 10 years to ensure relevance? | For methodology uses normative legal research with a comparative legal analysis approach to analyze the regulation and application of stunning laws in halal slaughter in countries with Muslim majorities and Muslim minorities. We have reviewed and corrected it. |
| **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**  **Reviewer A**  Compliance with Methods (SLR and Qualitative Analysis). The analysis is still descriptive and does not show how data from various sources are analyzed systematically.  All that you present is not credible and cannot be proven, please describe it again in narrative form and also include proper references. When you take the subject in Arab countries then the references are also from there or at least discuss about the country The discussion structure is still a jumble of regulations, fatwas, scientific perspectives, and consumer opinions. Group the discussion into sub-themes to make it more systematic:   1. Islamic law and fatwa perspectives (e.g. differences between fatwas in Saudi, Aceh, and Malaysia) 2. Regulation of Muslim majority vs. minority countries (e.g. comparison of regulations in the UK, US, Australia, and Indonesia) 3. Scientific approaches to stunning (e.g. research on animal welfare and its impact on halal meat) 4. Opinions of Muslim communities and their implications for the halal industry   Some points are not discussed in depth or are not connected back to previous research results. In addition, your discussion is not long enough, the comparison between findings and discussion is twice as long, if the findings are 2 pages then the discussion should be 4 pages  **Reviewer B**  Divide the findings into clearer sub-themes, for example:   1. Stunning requirements in Muslim majority countries 2. Stunning requirements in Muslim minority countries 3. Fatwas and regulations related to stunning 4. Impact of stunning on halal meat & animal welfare   We only found 2 sources of reference in this finding? are you kidding me? It is mandatory to create a discussion diagram for literature review | We have reviewed and corrected it according to your suggestions and instructions. |
| **CONCLUSION**  **Reviewer A**  **-**  **Reviewer B**  Conclusions need to be strengthened by more clearly linking methods, key findings, and policy recommendations.   1. Explain that the findings are derived from literature analysis and regulatory comparison. 2. Summarize key differences across countries more explicitly. 3. Add concrete recommendations for the halal industry and regulators. 4. Improve clarity of language and scientific rigor in conveying stunning impacts. | We have reviewed and corrected it according to your suggestions and instructions. |
| **REFERENCES**  **Reviewer A**  Because your research is literature, the minimum number of references is 50, don't go below the minimum limit.  **Reviewer B**  Make sure the references you use are from the last 5 years, and must have an active journal DOI, not a link. References from abroad must be adjusted to the country that is the subject of the research, there must be reference sources from the 6 countries you use as subjects. | We have reviewed and corrected it according to your suggestions and instructions. |
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