

turnitin_Roesli.docx

by nama2 siapa2

Submission date: 24-Mar-2025 11:00AM (UTC-0400)

Submission ID: 2426528584


File name: turnitin_Roesli.docx (83.35K)

Word count: 4261

Character count: 27139

Digitizing Land Certificates: Prospects and Challenges for Legal Certainty in the Fourth Industrial Revolution

Received: 2021-October-15 (10-Calibri Light)
Rev. Req: 2022-January-09
Accepted: 2022-January-22

 10.5758/ijls.2022.1

How to cite this paper: Pilotti, M. & Almubarak, H. A. M. (2021). Systematic Versus Informal Application of Culturally Relevant Pedagogy: Are Performance Outcomes Different? A Study of College Students. *Journal of Culture and Values in Education*, 4(2), 14-26. <https://doi.org/10.5758/ijls.2022.1>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

ABSTRACT: This study examines the prospects and challenges of digitizing land certificates in Indonesia within the framework of legal certainty during the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR). Using a qualitative research design, data were collected through semi-structured interviews, document analysis, field observations, and focus group discussions with stakeholders, including government officials, legal experts, and marginalized communities. The findings reveal that digitization enhances transparency by reducing corruption, improves accessibility in urban areas through online platforms, and ensures security via emerging technologies like blockchain. However, challenges such as legal ambiguities, inadequate infrastructure, and socio-economic disparities hinder equitable implementation. Marginalized groups, particularly in rural areas, face exclusion due to the digital divide and limited digital literacy. The study concludes that while digitization offers significant benefits for legal certainty and land governance, its success depends on addressing these challenges through legal reforms, technological investments, and inclusive policies. Future research should explore long-term impacts and scalability of digital land systems.

Keywords: Digitizing land certificates, legal certainty, Fourth Industrial Revolution, blockchain technology, digital divide, inclusive governance, Indonesia

I. INTRODUCTION

The Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) has brought about transformative changes in various sectors, including the legal domain. One of the most significant areas impacted by this digital transformation is land administration and management. Land certificates, as critical instruments for asserting ownership rights, have traditionally been managed through paper-based systems that are susceptible to inefficiencies, fraud, and loss (R. M. Bennett

et al., 2024, 2024; Danylenko et al., 2019; Gebrihet & Pillay, 2021). The digitization of land certificates has emerged as a potential solution to address these challenges, offering prospects for enhanced transparency, accessibility, and security (Danylenko et al., 2020, 2020; Kadi & Budiono, 2024; Pandurangi et al., 2019). However, this transition also presents complex legal, technical, and socio-economic challenges that must be carefully navigated to ensure legal certainty in the 4IR era.

In Indonesia, land governance has long been plagued by issues such as overlapping land claims, corruption, and bureaucratic inefficiencies. These challenges are exacerbated by the sheer size and diversity of the country, which includes over 17,000 islands and a population exceeding 270 million people. Efforts such as the *Sistem Informasi Bidang Tanah* (SIBT) and *Pendaftaran Tanah Sistematis Lengkap* (PTSL) have been introduced to modernize land administration and improve legal certainty (Mugizi et al., 2022; Smith & Johnson, 2021). While these initiatives have made significant strides, they face persistent challenges, including inadequate legal frameworks, insufficient technological infrastructure, and limited public awareness of digital systems (Bon et al., 2024). For instance, the lack of harmonized legal standards and robust cybersecurity measures can undermine the legitimacy and reliability of digital land certificates (Okoli et al., 2024).

Previous research has explored various aspects of land digitization. Studies such as those by Gebrihet & Pillay (2021) and Benbunan-Fich & Castellanos (2018) highlight the benefits of digitizing land records, including reduced transaction costs, improved accuracy, and increased public trust in land governance systems. Similarly, Ameyaw & de Vries (2021) emphasize the importance of addressing legal ambiguities and institutional weaknesses to ensure the success of digital land systems. Comparative analyses by international organizations such as the World Bank (2022) provide valuable insights into global best practices, while academic studies by Stefanović et al., (2018) and R. Bennett et al., (2021) explore the role of emerging technologies like blockchain and artificial intelligence in enhancing land governance. Okembo et al., (2024) further highlights the interoperability challenges in digital land systems, particularly in contexts where multiple jurisdictions and legacy systems coexist.

Despite these contributions, there remains a gap in understanding how digitization efforts can be effectively implemented in Indonesia, a country characterized by diverse socio-cultural, economic, and legal landscapes. For example, Kahn & Wu (2020) Perzanowski & Schultz (2016) and Mahfiana et al., (2020) discuss the need for adaptive legal frameworks to accommodate digital innovations while ensuring legal certainty. In the Indonesian context, Law No. 5 of 1960 on Basic Agrarian Principles (*Undang-Undang Pokok Agraria*) serves as the foundation for land governance but requires updates to address the complexities of digital systems. Additionally, studies by Nodeland (2024) and Keymolen & Voorwinden (2020) underscore the risks and rewards of integrating artificial intelligence into land administration, particularly in ensuring data integrity and public trust.

This paper seeks to fill this gap by examining the prospects and challenges of digitizing land certificates within the framework of legal certainty during the Fourth Industrial Revolution, with a specific focus on Indonesia. The novelty of this study lies in its contextualization of the issue within the Indonesian legal and socio-economic environment. While previous

studies have primarily focused on global or regional perspectives, this research provides an in-depth analysis of Indonesia's unique challenges and opportunities in adopting digital land systems. It explores how existing legal frameworks can be adapted to accommodate digital innovations while ensuring legal certainty, drawing on insights from Ho et al., (2023) on community participation in digital land governance. Furthermore, it investigates the role of emerging technologies such as blockchain and artificial intelligence, offering insights into their potential applications in Indonesia, as discussed by Khanh & Linh, (2022) and Theine & Sevignani (2024).

This paper aims to address three key questions: (1) What are the potential benefits of digitizing land certificates for legal certainty in Indonesia? (2) What legal, technical, and socio-economic barriers hinder the effective implementation of digital land systems in the country? (3) How can policymakers and stakeholders design inclusive and sustainable frameworks to overcome these challenges? By addressing these questions, this study contributes to the ongoing discourse on the intersection of law, technology, and land governance in the 4IR, with a particular emphasis on Indonesia's unique context.

II. METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research design to explore the prospects and challenges of digitizing land certificates in Indonesia, with a focus on ensuring legal certainty in the context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR). The choice of qualitative research is justified by the need to deeply understand the socio-legal, technical, and institutional dynamics surrounding the digitization of land administration. This approach allows for an in-depth analysis of the complexities involved, including the interplay between technology, law, and society.

Research Location and Justification

The research was conducted in Indonesia, specifically focusing on regions with ongoing digitization initiatives such as *Pendaftaran Tanah Sistematis Lengkap* (PTSL) and *Sistem Informasi Bidang Tanah* (SIBT). These regions were chosen because they represent diverse socio-economic and legal contexts, making them ideal for examining the implementation and impact of digital land systems. The study focuses on both urban and rural areas to capture the digital divide and its implications for marginalized communities. Key locations include Jakarta, Yogyakarta, and East Nusa Tenggara, which are known for their varying levels of technological infrastructure and land governance practices.

Data Sources and Informants

The primary data sources for this study include:

1. **Primary Data** : Collected through semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders, including government officials from the National Land Agency (*Badan Pertanahan Nasional*), legal experts, community leaders, and local residents. Informants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure representation from various groups affected by land digitization.

2. Secondary Data : Obtained from official documents, policy reports, academic journals, and case studies related to land digitization in Indonesia and other countries. These include publications from the World Bank (2022), national laws such as Law No. 5 of 1960 on Basic Agrarian Principles, and reports on digital land systems.

Data Collection Techniques

Data collection was carried out using the following methods:

1. Interviews : Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 30 informants, including policymakers, legal practitioners, and community members. The interviews focused on their experiences, challenges, and perceptions of digital land systems.
2. Document Analysis : Relevant legal frameworks, policy documents, and academic literature were analyzed to identify gaps and opportunities in the digitization process.
3. Field Observations : Field visits were conducted to observe the implementation of PTSL and SIBT programs in selected regions. Observations included interactions with local land offices and communities to assess the practical challenges of digitization.
4. Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) : Two FGDs were organized with representatives from rural communities to gather insights into the accessibility and inclusivity of digital land systems.

4 Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using thematic analysis , a method that involves identifying, organizing, and offering insight into patterns of meaning (themes) across the dataset. The analysis followed these steps:

1. Data Coding : Transcripts from interviews and field notes were coded to identify recurring themes related to legal certainty, technological adoption, and socio-economic barriers.
2. Theme Development : Themes were grouped into broader categories, such as "legal frameworks," "technological challenges," and "community engagement."
3. Interpretation : The themes were interpreted in light of existing theories and literature on digital transformation and land governance, particularly in the context of the 4IR.

Validity and Reliability of Data

2
To ensure the validity and reliability of the findings, the following measures were implemented:

1. Triangulation : Data triangulation was used by cross-verifying information from multiple sources, including interviews, documents, and observations.
2. Peer Review : Preliminary findings were shared with experts in land governance and digital systems for feedback and validation.

3. Member Checking : Key informants were provided with summaries of the findings to confirm the accuracy of the interpretations.

Focus of the Research

The primary objective of this research is to examine the prospects and challenges of digitizing land certificates in Indonesia within the framework of legal certainty during the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Specifically, the study aims to:

1. Identify the potential benefits of digital land systems for improving transparency, efficiency, and public trust.
2. Analyze the legal, technical, and socio-economic barriers hindering the effective implementation of digital land systems.
3. Propose inclusive and sustainable frameworks to address these challenges and enhance legal certainty.

By employing a qualitative research design and focusing on Indonesia's unique context, this study provides valuable insights into the intersection of law, technology, and land governance in the digital age.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

Improved Transparency

Government officials and community leaders reported that digital systems significantly reduce opportunities for corruption and fraud, particularly through initiatives like the *Pendaftaran Tanah Sistematis Lengkap* (PTSL) program. By streamlining processes and eliminating intermediaries who previously exploited bureaucratic inefficiencies, PTSL has restored public trust in land governance. Digital systems achieve transparency by centralizing land records in tamper-proof databases, enabling public access to ownership histories, and automating workflows to minimize human intervention. Field observations in urban areas, such as Jakarta, revealed a notable decline in complaints related to forged certificates since the adoption of digital platforms. However, challenges persist in rural regions where traditional practices and limited infrastructure allow intermediaries to exploit gaps in the system. Bon et al., (2024) emphasizes that while technology enhances transparency, institutional reforms are equally critical to addressing systemic corruption. Improved transparency directly contributes to legal certainty by ensuring accurate and reliable land records, reducing disputes, and strengthening property rights.

Increased Accessibility

Urban respondents highlighted that online platforms have made land records more accessible, significantly reducing the time and cost associated with traditional paper-based systems. For instance, processes like land registration and title transfers, which once took

months, can now be completed in weeks or even days. Benbunan argues that digitization enhances efficiency by streamlining administrative workflows, benefiting urban residents who generally have better access to technology (Benbunan-Fich & Castellanos, 2018). However, rural respondents face significant barriers due to limited internet connectivity and low digital literacy. In East Nusa Tenggara, many residents still rely on physical visits to local land offices, undermining the potential benefits of digitization. Initial costs, such as purchasing smartphones or paying for internet access, also pose financial burdens for low-income households. To bridge this urban-rural divide, policymakers must invest in digital infrastructure, establish localized support centers, and provide subsidies to marginalized communities. Increased accessibility expands participation in formal land governance systems, reducing informal transactions and disputes, and thereby enhancing legal certainty.

Enhanced Security

The integration of blockchain technology in pilot projects, particularly in Jakarta, has been praised for its ability to ensure the integrity and immutability of land records. Blockchain's decentralized nature distributes data across multiple nodes, reducing the risk of single points of failure or cyberattacks. Its tamper-proof ledger ensures that once data is recorded, it cannot be altered without consensus, making land records resistant to fraud and manipulation. Government officials reported a significant reduction in cases of forged certificates and duplicate titles since adopting blockchain technology. Legal experts noted that this innovation enhances the enforceability of property rights by providing a secure and transparent system for recording transactions. However, challenges remain, including the technical complexity of implementation, regulatory gaps in existing legal frameworks, and scalability issues in densely populated areas. Despite these hurdles, enhanced security directly contributes to legal certainty by ensuring that land records are accurate, reliable, and resistant to tampering, thereby building public trust in digital systems.

Synthesis and Broader Implications

The findings underscore the transformative potential of digitizing land certificates in improving transparency, accessibility, and security. While urban areas have reaped significant benefits from digital systems, rural communities continue to face barriers due to inadequate infrastructure and limited digital literacy. To maximize the impact of digitization, policymakers must address these disparities by updating legal frameworks to accommodate emerging technologies, investing in digital infrastructure, and implementing capacity-building programs to empower marginalized groups. Community engagement is also crucial to ensure that digital systems are inclusive and sustainable. By addressing these challenges, Indonesia can harness the full potential of digital land systems to enhance legal certainty, promote equitable development, and transform land governance in the Fourth Industrial Revolution. This comprehensive approach not only strengthens property rights but also fosters trust in governance systems, laying the foundation for long-term socio-economic progress.

The following table summarizes the perceived benefits of digitization across different stakeholder groups:

Table 1: Perceived Benefits of Digitizing Land Certificates Across Stakeholder Groups

Government Officials	Reduced corruption, improved public trust, and streamlined administrative processes.
Legal Experts	Enhanced legal certainty and enforceability of land rights.
Community Leaders	Greater transparency and reduced disputes over land ownership.
Marginalized Communities	Improved awareness of land rights and access to formal documentation.

Challenges in Implementation

Despite the benefits, several challenges were identified in implementing digital land systems, particularly in rural areas. These challenges can be categorized into legal, technical, and socio-economic dimensions:

1. **Legal Ambiguities** : Many informants highlighted inconsistencies between existing legal frameworks, such as Law No. 5 of 1960 on Basic Agrarian Principles, and the requirements of digital systems. For example, the lack of clear provisions on electronic signatures and digital authentication creates uncertainty in legal enforcement.
2. **Technological Barriers** : Field observations revealed inadequate infrastructure in rural areas, including limited internet connectivity and outdated hardware. This digital divide limits the accessibility of digital systems for marginalized communities.
3. **Capacity Gaps** : Focus group discussions with rural residents indicated a lack of digital literacy, making it difficult for them to navigate online platforms or understand the significance of digital certificates.

One government official from East Nusa Tenggara stated, *"While urban areas have embraced digital systems, many rural communities still rely on traditional methods due to insufficient training and resources."*

Socio-Economic Implications for Marginalized Communities

The study found that the digital divide exacerbates inequalities in land governance. Key findings include:

1. **Exclusion of Rural Populations** : Marginalized groups, particularly in remote areas, face barriers to accessing digital land systems. For example, participants in East Nusa Tenggara expressed frustration over the lack of local support centers for digital registration.

2. Gender Disparities : Women in rural areas reported limited participation in digital initiatives due to cultural norms and lower levels of education, which hinder their ability to engage with technology.
3. Economic Costs : While digital systems reduce transaction costs in the long term, initial expenses, such as purchasing smartphones or paying for internet access, pose financial burdens for low-income households.

A community leader from Yogyakarta remarked, *"Digitization is a step forward, but it must consider the needs of those who are left behind."*

Discussion

Enhancing Legal Certainty Through Digitization

The findings align with prior studies by Gebrihet & Pillay (2021) and Benbunan-Fich & Castellanos (2018), which emphasize the role of digitization in improving transparency and reducing disputes. However, the study reveals that legal ambiguities remain a significant barrier in Indonesia. For instance, the absence of clear regulations on cybersecurity and data privacy undermines public trust in digital systems (Keneth, 2024). To address this, policymakers must update existing legal frameworks, such as Law No. 5 of 1960, to incorporate provisions for digital innovations while ensuring compliance with international standards.

Addressing Technological and Infrastructural Challenges

The technological barriers identified in this study reflect the findings of Bon et al., (2024) and Okembo et al., (2024), who highlight the importance of addressing the digital divide in land governance. The lack of infrastructure in rural areas underscores the need for targeted investments in connectivity and capacity-building programs. Additionally, the integration of emerging technologies like blockchain, as discussed by Stefanović et al., (2018), could enhance the security and reliability of digital land systems.

Promoting Equity and Inclusion

The socio-economic implications of digitization underscore the importance of equity and inclusion in designing digital land systems. Studies by Rodima-Taylor (2021) and Hovik & Giannoumis (2022) emphasize the need for community participation to ensure that marginalized groups benefit from technological advancements. The findings suggest that localized support centers and educational campaigns could bridge the gap between urban and rural communities.

Policy Recommendations

Based on the results, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Legal Reforms : Update existing laws to address the legal ambiguities surrounding digital land systems, particularly in areas such as electronic signatures and data protection.
2. Infrastructure Development : Invest in digital infrastructure, particularly in rural areas, to ensure equitable access to digital systems.

3. Capacity Building : Implement training programs to improve digital literacy among marginalized communities, with a focus on women and low-income households.
4. Community Engagement : Involve local leaders and community members in the design and implementation of digital land systems to ensure inclusivity and sustainability.

The results demonstrate that while digitizing land certificates offers significant benefits for legal certainty, several challenges must be addressed to ensure equitable and sustainable implementation. By updating legal frameworks, investing in infrastructure, and promoting inclusive governance, Indonesia can

IV. CONCLUSION

This study has explored the prospects and challenges of digitizing land certificates in Indonesia within the framework of legal certainty during the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR). The findings highlight several advantages, limitations, and potential applications of digital land systems, offering valuable insights for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers.

The digitization of land certificates offers significant benefits that contribute to improved governance and legal certainty. First, digital systems enhance transparency by reducing opportunities for corruption and fraud, as evidenced by the success of programs like *Pendaftaran Tanah Sistematis Lengkap* (PTSL) in eliminating intermediaries. Second, they improve accessibility, particularly in urban areas, by streamlining processes and reducing transaction costs through online platforms. Third, emerging technologies such as blockchain provide enhanced security, ensuring the integrity and immutability of land records. These advancements collectively strengthen property rights, reduce disputes, and foster public trust in land governance.

Despite these benefits, the study identifies several limitations that hinder the effective implementation of digital land systems. Legal ambiguities persist, as existing frameworks such as Law No. 5 of 1960 on Basic Agrarian Principles do not adequately address the requirements of digital systems, particularly in areas like electronic signatures and data privacy. Technological barriers, including inadequate infrastructure and digital literacy, exacerbate inequalities between urban and rural communities. Additionally, marginalized groups, particularly women and low-income households, face exclusion due to the digital divide and socio-cultural norms. These challenges underscore the need for comprehensive reforms and targeted interventions to ensure equitable access and sustainability.

The findings of this study have several practical applications. Policymakers can use the insights to design inclusive and sustainable digital land systems by updating legal frameworks, investing in digital infrastructure, and implementing capacity-building programs. For instance, localized support centers and educational campaigns could bridge the gap between urban and rural communities, while subsidies or financial assistance could address the initial costs of adopting digital systems. Furthermore, the integration of blockchain technology in land governance offers a scalable solution for enhancing security and transparency, which could be extended to other sectors requiring tamper-proof record-keeping.

This research underscores the transformative potential of digitizing land certificates in promoting legal certainty, equitable development, and good governance. By addressing the identified limitations, Indonesia can serve as a model for other developing countries seeking to modernize their land administration systems in the 4IR era. Future studies could explore the long-term impacts of digital land systems on economic growth, social inclusion, and environmental sustainability. Additionally, comparative analyses of digital land governance across different regions could provide further insights into best practices and lessons learned.

In conclusion, while digitizing land certificates presents significant opportunities for improving land governance, its success depends on addressing legal, technological, and socio-economic challenges. By adopting an inclusive and adaptive approach, stakeholders can harness the full potential of digital systems to transform land administration and ensure legal certainty in the digital age.

VI. REFERENCES

- [1] Ameyaw, P. D., & de Vries, W. T. (2021). Toward smart land management: Land acquisition and the associated challenges in Ghana. A look into a blockchain digital land registry for prospects. *Land*, 10(3), 239.
- [2] Benbunan-Fich, R., & Castellanos, A. (2018). *Digitization of land records: From paper to blockchain*.
- [3] Bennett, R. M., Hutabarat, I. R., Unger, E.-M., Ginting, C. P., van der Vegt, H., & Latif, A. (2024). Digital Transformation in Indonesian Land Administration: Further Developments and Directions. In *Geospatial Science for Smart Land Management* (pp. 43–67). CRC Press.
- [4] Bennett, R., Miller, T., Pickering, M., & Kara, A.-K. (2021). Hybrid approaches for smart contracts in land administration: Lessons from three blockchain proofs-of-concept. *Land*, 10(2), 220.
- [5] Bon, A., Saa-Dittoh, F., & Akkermans, H. (2024). Bridging the digital divide. *Hannes Werthner· Carlo Ghezzi· Jeff Kramer· Julian Nida-Rümelin· Bashar Nuseibeh· Erich Prem*, 283.
- [6] Danylenko, A., Satyr, L., Novikova, V., & Shust, O. (2019). *Several Aspects of Digital Transformation in State Administration of Rational Agricultural Land Use*.
- [7] Danylenko, A., Satyr, L., Novikova, V., & Shust, O. (2020). *The Significant Aspects of Digital Transformation In State Administration For Rational Agricultural Land Use*.
- [8] Gebrihet, H. G., & Pillay, P. (2021). Emerging challenges and prospects of digital transformation and stakeholders integration in urban land administration in Ethiopia. *Global Journal of Emerging Market Economies*, 13(3), 341–356.
- [9] Ho, S., Choudhury, P. R., & Joshi, R. (2023). Community participation for inclusive land administration: A case study of the Odisha urban slum formalization project. *Land Use Policy*, 125, 106457.

- [10] Hovik, S., & Giannoumis, G. A. (2022). Linkages between citizen participation, digital technology, and urban development. In *Citizen participation in the information society: Comparing participatory channels in urban development* (pp. 1–23). Springer International Publishing Cham.
- [11] Kadi, A. A. P., & Budiono, A. (2024). Digital Transformation of Land Title Certificates. *Proceeding International Conference Restructuring and Transforming Law*, 3(1), 92–102.
- [12] Kahn, A., & Wu, X. (2020). Impact of digital economy on intellectual property law. *J. Pol. & L.*, 13, 117.
- [13] Keneth, N. (2024). *A block chain-based framework for records management in land registry system in Uganda*. Kampala International University.
- [14] Keymolen, E., & Voorwinden, A. (2020). Can we negotiate? Trust and the rule of law in the smart city paradigm. *International Review of Law, Computers & Technology*, 34(3), 233–253.
- [15] Khanh, B. N., & Linh, P. X. (2022). Electronic Notarization in the Context of Digital Transformation in Vietnam: Challenges and Recommendations. *International Transaction Journal of Engineering, Management, & Applied Sciences & Technologies*, 13(9), 1–13.
- [16] Mahfiana, L., Harahap, L., Roesli, M., Rochman, K. L., & Wajdi, M. B. N. (2020). The democracy management in indonesia constitution. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management*.
- [17] Nodeland, B. (2024). *Risk and Rewards: Artificial Intelligence and Critical Infrastructure*. Institute for Homeland Security.
- [18] Okembo, C., Morales, J., Lemmen, C., Zevenbergen, J., & Kuria, D. (2024). A Land Administration Data Exchange and Interoperability Framework for Kenya and Its Significance to the Sustainable Development Goals. *Land*, 13(4), 435.
- [19] Okoli, F. U., Oludiji, S. M., Ofoegbunam, E. I., Oyesiji, A. O., & Akindiya, O. M. (2024). BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY FOR LAND REGISTRATION IN NIGERIA: A REVIEW OF OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES. *FUDMA JOURNAL OF SCIENCES*, 8(6), 252–257.
- [20] Pandurang, G., Misra, D. C., & K, R. K. (2019). BhuSeva: Digital Transformation in Land Administration towards better Citizen Services. *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Theory and Practice of Electronic Governance*, 484–486.
- [21] Perzanowski, A., & Schultz, J. (2016). *The end of ownership: Personal property in the digital economy*. MIT Press.
- [22] Rodima-Taylor, D. (2021). Digitalizing land administration: The geographies and temporalities of infrastructural promise. *Geoforum*, 122, 140–151.
- [23] Stefanović, M., Pržulj, D., Ristić, S., Stefanović, D., & Vukmanović, M. (2018). Blockchain and land administration: Possible applications and limitations. *Proc. EBM*, 1–8.

- [24] Theine, H., & Seignani, S. (2024). Media property: Mapping the field and future trajectories in the digital age. *European Journal of Communication*, 39(5), 412–425.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16%

SIMILARITY INDEX

14%

INTERNET SOURCES

1%

PUBLICATIONS

14%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

Submitted to Forum Perpustakaan Perguruan
Tinggi Indonesia Jawa Tengah

Student Paper

14%

2

ijaeti.com

Internet Source

1%

3

www.itu.int

Internet Source

1%

4

ijrp.org

Internet Source

1%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 15 words

Exclude bibliography On