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# Introduction

Policy is a detailed plan outlining how something will be executed (Collin 2004). Policy can also be understood as a course of action designed for a specific purpose, intended to be implemented by policymakers to address particular issues or concerns (Hosio 2006). According to Harold Lasswell and Abraham Kaplan, public policy is a program that projects specific values, goals, and practices (Nugroho, 2014). Jenkins defines policy as a set of interrelated decisions that determine objectives and strategies for their achievement (Rohman dan Wiyono 2010). James Anderson describes public policy as a collection of deliberate activities carried out by an individual or group of actors in response to a specific issue (Agustino 2012). Thomas R. Dye defines public policy as the actions taken by the government, the reasons behind them, and the expected outcomes (Nugroho 2014). Similarly, David Easton views public policy as a result of government activities (Nugroho 2014).

Public policy involves identifying and analyzing its design, conceptual framework, and instruments. This identification and analysis generate the policy’s objectives, rationale, tools, executors, and underlying assumptions (Sidney 2015). Consequently, a public policy comprises six essential elements: rules of engagement, formulation of activities, actual activities, actors, the public, and impact (Nugroho 2014). The "public" refers to individuals and their activities, which are deemed significant for management and regulation by the government, communities, or at least through social action (Parsons 2014).

Referring to the theories above, it is evident that public policy always has a background, objectives, and strategies for achieving them. For instance, Mitchell and Mitchell assert that the typical goals of policy include reducing poverty, eradicating crime, controlling population growth, or altering certain social conditions (Etzioni 2015).

The formulation of policy involves a series of activities conducted by policymakers through specific stages. The policymaking process is inherently political, encompassing sequential steps over a period of time. These stages include problem identification, forecasting, recommendations, monitoring, and evaluation (Dunn 2003). Other perspectives outline the policy formulation process as consisting of (1) problem identification, (2) policy agenda setting, (3) selection of policy alternatives for problem-solving, and (4) policy determination (Winarno 2014).

Several theories underpin policy formulation, including institutional theory, elite theory, rationalism, incrementalism, integrated observation theory, democratic theory, public choice theory, systems theory, and deliberative theory. However, this study will focus on three policy formulation theories—elite theory, deliberative theory, and democratic theory—due to their relevance to this research.

Elite theory suggests that regardless of how democratic a system is, there is always a bias in policy formulation because, ultimately, policies reflect the preferences of the elite. According to this theory, policy formulation follows a top-down approach, where elites create public policies to be implemented by public administrators for the general populace (Rosenberg 2007). This theory can be viewed both positively and negatively. The negative aspect is that policies produced through this approach are tailored to the preferences of political elites and aim to maintain the status quo (Holcombe 2021). In this context, the public is often manipulated to prevent their direct involvement in policy formulation. On the other hand, the positive perspective argues that leaders (elites) inherently possess a vision for governance, and public policy is part of their efforts to realize that vision (Winarno 2014). C. Wright Mills contends that significant policies are determined by a small group of individuals in powerful positions. He states that "the power elite consists of individuals whose positions allow them to transcend the ordinary environments of men and women; they are in positions to make decisions with significant consequences. Whether they do or do not make such decisions is less important than the fact that they occupy such crucial positions" (Mills 1956).

This theory is labeled "democratic" because it advocates for the broadest possible participation of all "democracy holders." It is often associated with the implementation of good governance, which mandates that policy formulation should accommodate constituents and beneficiaries (Rosenberg 2007). Given its emphasis on broad participation, this theory aligns closely with public choice; however, it has a weakness—it may be ineffective in addressing critical, urgent issues, or when resources are scarce (Tilaar dan Nugroho 2012).

Also known as the "deliberative" or "consensus" theory, this framework was developed by Maarten Hajer and Hendrick Wagenaar, building upon the ideas of Frank Fischer and John Forester. This theory positions the government primarily as a legislator of "public will," while policy analysts act as facilitators of public dialogue to ensure that policies reflect the collective decision-making process (Rosenberg 2007).

In the current era of decentralized governance, regional governments are actively pursuing initiatives to advance local development across various sectors (Aziz dan Arnold 2003). Beyond merely stimulating economic growth (Ali dan Alam 2012), regional governments are increasingly engaging in religious education. One notable example of such an initiative is the direct involvement of local governments in the management of Islamic religious education, particularly Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah. Many local governments have issued regional regulations (Perda) or mayoral/regent decrees to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of madrasah diniyah in supporting regional development goals (Ismail 2017).

A similar approach has been taken by the Sampang Regency Government, which, in 2019, enacted Regional Regulation No. 7 concerning madrasah diniyah takmiliyah. This regulation signifies the government's *sense of crisis* regarding madrasah diniyah and reflects its *political will* and *political action* in responding to community needs. Although madrasah diniyah operates within the community, this does not preclude local government contributions. In fact, under regional autonomy, local governments have greater flexibility to innovate and align governance with evolving social dynamics (Aziz dan Arnold 2003).

However, the local government's role in religious education policy is not universally accepted as a governance consensus (Danisworo 2023). Islamic religious education remains outside the jurisdiction of regional autonomy and authority delegation (Danisworo 2023). Under Law No. 23/2014 on Regional Government, six sectors remain centralized: defense and security, judiciary, international relations, religion, fiscal and monetary policy, and agrarian affairs. This means that local governments lack full jurisdiction over the administration of Islamic religious education. Nevertheless, in practice, district and city governments have increasingly engaged with Islamic religious education, issuing regional regulations, mayoral decrees, budget programs, and other initiatives. This paradox raises critical questions, motivating further investigation (Danisworo 2023).

Sampang Regency, located on Madura Island, is characterized by its deeply religious community, as evidenced by the prevalence of Islamic educational institutions both in quantity and quality. According to the East Java Provincial Education Office, Sampang Regency is home to 1,210 madrasah diniyah ula and wustha, serving 156,802 students (Ismail 2017). This highlights the strategic role of madrasah diniyah in Sampang Regency, given its extensive community involvement. Consequently, the local government’s policies regarding madrasah diniyah significantly impact a substantial segment of the population (Ashari dkk. 2023).

Given this, a thorough examination of the regulation is essential to understand its background, objectives, strategies, substance, and impact (Ali dan Alam 2012). Therefore, this research is of strategic importance both in terms of policy theory and the operational development of madrasah diniyah.

A crucial aspect of this study is examining the background behind the enactment of this regional regulation (*Perdakab*), as the issuance and ratification of a *Perdakab* is typically a response to one or more prevailing situations. The same applies to *Perdakab* Sampang No. 7 of 2019. The researchers assume that an urgent matter prompted the Sampang Regency Government to issue this regulation.

One of the key local government policies that possess legal standing within the legislative hierarchy is the regional regulation (*Perda*). According to Law No. 12/2011 on the Formation of Legislation, as amended by Law No. 15/2019, *Perda* is classified into two types: *Perdaprov* (Provincial Regional Regulation) and *Perdakab*/*Perdakot* (Regency/City Regional Regulation). *Perdaprov* refers to legislative regulations jointly formulated by the governor and the Provincial House of Representatives (*DPRD Provinsi*), whereas *Perdakab*/*Perdakot* is a legislative regulation jointly established by the regent/mayor and the Regency/City House of Representatives (*DPRD Kabupaten/Kota*).

In the legislative hierarchy, *Perda* ranks below presidential regulations, signifying its legitimacy as a lawfully recognized regulation. Thus, a *Perda* constitutes a policy derived from a political process at the regional governance level, where the executive leadership of the local government and the regional legislative body play direct roles. Consequently, the existence of a regency-level *Perda* is inherently linked to interactions between the regent, legislative representatives in the *DPRD*, and the public.

# SUBSTANCE OF REGIONAL REGULATION NO. 7 OF 2019 ON MADRASAH DINIYAH TAKMILIYAH

An analysis of the local government’s policy on the administration of Islamic religious education reveals four critical aspects that serve as the focus of the local government’s efforts to improve the quality of Islamic religious education. These four aspects include: (1) Curriculum and educational materials in Islamic religious education, (2) Competency development for educators, (3) Welfare of educators, and (4) Provision of educational facilities and infrastructure (Ismail dan Fauzi 2022).

Both regional regulations and regent/mayoral regulations stipulate the necessary educational content that should be delivered to students. This is done to ensure that the community receives a guaranteed quality of Islamic religious education aligned with the intended educational objectives. Educational administrators also benefit from these curriculum provisions, as they provide a legal framework for the operational aspects of religious education (Ismail dan Fauzi 2022).

Additionally, the local government’s policy emphasizes improving teacher competency, enhancing educator welfare, and ensuring the availability of adequate educational infrastructure. These three components are deemed essential, as they directly influence curriculum implementation, the teaching-learning process, and the overall achievement of educational goals (Haque dan David 2022). Consequently, the local government’s commitment to improving teacher competence, welfare, and infrastructure is highly relevant to efforts aimed at enhancing the quality of Islamic religious education. The main challenges faced by institutions providing Islamic education include low teacher competency, insufficient educational facilities and infrastructure, weak management, and limited operational and development funding (Fathurrahman 2016). Ideally, both the Ministry of Religious Affairs (*Kemenag*) and local governments (*Pemda*) should take a more active role in addressing these issues. For instance, this could be achieved by providing funding for educational facilities and infrastructure, offering undergraduate (*S1*) scholarships for educators and school principals, and optimizing the role of educational supervisors (Alia 2016). Strategic solutions to address challenges in Islamic religious education—including *madrasah diniyah* and *pesantren*—include enhancing teacher competence, ensuring the availability of textbooks, strengthening supervision and monitoring, mapping educational institutions, and fostering synergy between provincial and district/city governments (Muhaemin 2012).

The policy substance of the Sampang Regency Government can be classified into six key aspects: 1) Objectives and targets of *Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah; 2)* Administration; 3) Rights and obligations of students, educators, parents, and the local government; 4) Curriculum; 5) Supervision and monitoring; 6) Funding

Each of these aspects is explained in detail below:

**2.1. Objectives and Targets of Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah**

The Sampang Regency Government has emphasized that the primary objective of *Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah* is to instill faith (*iman*) and devotion (*taqwa*) to Allah SWT in students. Additionally, it aims to develop students' abilities, knowledge, attitudes, and skills to become experts in Islamic sciences (*mutaffaqih fiddin*) or practicing Muslims who apply Islamic teachings in their daily lives. Furthermore, it seeks to shape students with noble character (*akhlaqul karimah*), who demonstrate both individual and social piety while upholding the values of sincerity (*ikhlas*), simplicity (*kesederhanaan*), independence (*kemandirian*), Islamic brotherhood (*ukhuwah Islamiyah*), humility (*tawadhu*), tolerance (*tasamuh*), balance (*tawazun*), moderation (*tawasuth*), exemplary behavior (*uswah*), a healthy lifestyle, and patriotism (*cinta tanah air*) (Sampang 2019). Through this regional regulation, the Sampang Regency Government intends to safeguard and ensure that the community has access to Islamic religious education, providing a foundation for individuals to become devout and responsible members of society. The primary target of *Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah*, both *ula* (elementary) and *wustha* (secondary), includes school-age children at the level of elementary (*SD/MI*) and junior high school (*SMP/MTs*) or their equivalents (Sampang 2019). This age group aligns with the local government's jurisdiction in managing basic education.

* 1. **Administration**

Regarding the administration of *Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah*, the Sampang Regency Government policy delegates full authority to the community. These institutions may operate independently or integrate with other formal and non-formal educational institutions, provided they adhere to the regulations established by the Ministry of Religious Affairs (Sampang 2019). The autonomy granted to communities in managing *Madrasah Diniyah* serves as a driving force for the continuous growth and expansion of these institutions in Sampang Regency.

* 1. **Rights and Obligations of Students, Educators, Parents, and the Local Government**

This policy also regulates the rights and obligations of key stakeholders in *Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah*, including students, educators, parents, and the local government.

Students are entitled to receive Islamic religious education that aligns with their talents, interests, and abilities, as well as their stage of psychological development (Masturin 2024). They also have the right to assessment and certification of their learning outcomes. Students are obligated to practice Islamic teachings in accordance with the principle of *wasathiyyah* (moderation); actively participate in learning activities while upholding noble character (Masturin 2024); respect educators and educational staff; maintain harmony and social cohesion; take responsibility for school facilities, cleanliness, safety, and order; uphold the reputation of their educational institution; and comply with all applicable regulations (Sampang 2019).

Meanwhile, educators at *Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah* are entitled to; Receive compensation based on the financial capacity of the institution. Enhance their competencies in accordance with prevailing regulations. Obtain legal protection in the execution of their duties. Utilize educational facilities and infrastructure in their teaching activities (Wu dan Tham 2023). Furthemore, educators are obligated to: Conduct teaching activities with dedication and responsibility. Uphold Islamic and national values in their behavior. Comply with all institutional regulations. Maintain their personal and institutional reputation in line with the trust vested in them by the community (Sampang 2019).

The policy also defines the rights and responsibilities of parents (Wu dan Tham 2023). Among their rights, parents are entitled to ensure that their children receive adequate religious education and obtain information regarding their children's educational progress from the institution (Ikhwan dkk. 2019). Parents or guardians are also obligated to: encourage their children to attend *Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah*; contribute to the financial costs of running *Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah*; and collaborate with educational institutions to ensure the success of their children's education (Sampang 2019).

To ensure balanced governance in the administration of *Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah*, the Sampang Regency Government has outlined its responsibilities, which include: Providing educational resources and financial assistance. Safeguarding the autonomy and distinctive characteristics of *Madrasah Diniyah*, as long as they remain aligned with national education goals. Conducting accreditation processes to guarantee and regulate educational quality in accordance with national education standards. Providing educators when *Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah* is unable to recruit its own teaching staff. Conducting joint quality assurance and monitoring efforts in collaboration with the Ministry of Religious Affairs (Sampang 2019). The active role of the Sampang Regency Government, as demonstrated in this policy, is highly relevant to the realities of *Madrasah Diniyah* in the region. This initiative ensures a balanced distribution of responsibilities among key stakeholders and contributes to the sustainability of *Madrasah Diniyah* in Sampang Regency.

* 1. **Curriculum**

To achieve the objectives of Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah, this policy also regulates the implementation of the curriculum. The curriculum includes at a minimum: Al-Qur'an, Al-Hadith, Fiqh, Aqidah-Akhlak (Islamic Creed and Morals), Islamic Cultural History, and Arabic Language. Additionally, local excellence-based curriculum materials may be added based on proposals from the local government or the community (Sampang 2019). Conceptually, the Madrasah Diniyah curriculum outlined in the Sampang Regency regional regulation aligns with the madrasah diniyah curriculum theory explained by Steenbrink. According to Steenbrink, Islamic education until the early 20th century was structured in several stages: firstly, qur'anic Studies. This was considered the most basic form of education, focusing entirely on Qur'anic recitation. The primary goal was to learn to read specific passages from the Qur'an, especially those required for performing prayers, such as Surah Al-Fatihah and short surahs from Juz 30, often memorized. Additionally, teachings on prayer rituals, ablution (wudu), and supplications were provided. This form of education was conducted individually in mosques, small prayer halls (langgar or surau), or the homes of students whose parents held important positions in the community (Steenbrink 1994). The completion of this education was marked by a khatam (completion) ceremony (Djumhur dan Danasuparta 1984).

Secondly, kitab studies. This was considered an advanced level of education. The key differences between kitab studies and basic Qur'anic studies included: a) Students generally resided in Islamic boarding schools (pesantren). b) The curriculum covered a broader range of subjects beyond Qur'anic recitation, often beginning with language studies. c) Learning was conducted not only individually but also in group settings. In kitab studies, students learned Arabic grammar (nahwu) through memorization of poetic texts (nazam) and received explanations from scholars (kyai or ustadz). Mastery of Arabic was a prerequisite for further studies in Fiqh, Tawhid (theology), or Ushuluddin (principles of faith), followed by Tafsir (Qur'anic exegesis). Once proficient in these core subjects, students could progress to more specialized disciplines such as Tasawuf (Islamic mysticism), Hadith studies, Islamic astronomy (hisab/falak), and others, depending on the expertise of their teacher (Djumhur dan Danasuparta 1984). The curriculum outlined in the regional regulation of Sampang Regency aligns with the Ministry of Religious Affairs' regulations on madrasah diniyah education. According to Ministerial Regulation No. 13 of 2014 on Islamic Religious Education: The ula (elementary) level curriculum includes Al-Qur'an, Hadith, Tauhid, Fiqh, Akhlaq, Tarikh (Islamic history), and Arabic Language. The wustha (secondary) level curriculum includes Al-Qur'an, Tafsir-Ilmu Tafsir (exegesis), Hadith-Ilmu Hadith (Hadith sciences), Tauhid, Fiqh-Ushul Fiqh (jurisprudence), Akhlaq-Tasawuf, Tarikh, Arabic Language, Nahwu-Sharf (grammar and morphology), Balaghah (rhetoric), and Ilmu Kalam (Islamic theology) (K. Agama 2014). The implementation of the madrasah diniyah curriculum adheres to these regulations; however, the selection of reference books is left to the discretion of madrasah diniyah administrators, based on their respective considerations and needs.

* 1. **Supervision and Monitoring**

To ensure the effectiveness and efficiency of Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah operations, the Sampang Regency Government has established a system for supervision and monitoring. The primary objectives of this system are to guarantee the quality and accountability of education. Supervision and monitoring are carried out by Islamic education inspectors under the Ministry of Religious Affairs, in accordance with applicable regulations (Sampang 2019). This provision highlights the collaboration between the Sampang Regency Government and the Ministry of Religious Affairs, which is responsible for overseeing madrasah diniyah through Islamic education inspectors (PAIS). This collaboration is expected to enhance the proportional management and performance of madrasah diniyah institutions.

* 1. **Funding**

This policy stipulates that the funding for the administration of Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah shall be fulfilled independently by the community and the institutions managing madrasah diniyah takmiliyah. However, the Sampang Regency Government provides financial assistance to madrasah diniyah takmiliyah based on the financial capacity of the local government (Sampang 2019).

Although this local government policy does not fully meet all operational needs for Islamic religious education, it helps madrasah diniyah address some of the challenges they face. Consequently, Islamic religious education can continue to exist within the community. Moreover, this policy helps reduce the gap and discriminatory treatment by the government and/or local authorities toward madrasah diniyah in particular and Islamic education in general (Azra 2012). According to Azra, the Ministry of Religious Affairs has three possible responses to the discriminatory treatment of madrasah; (1) Allowing (status quo); (2) Issue a joint ministerial decree (SKB Tiga Menteri)—involving the Ministry of Religious Affairs, the Ministry of Education and Culture, and the Ministry of Home Affairs—to decentralize madrasah, and (3) Transfer the management of madrasah to the Ministry of Education and Culture (Azra 2012).

However, the Sampang Regency Government has not remained passive but has increased its involvement in managing madrasah diniyah. Madrasah diniyah that do not receive financial support from the central government through the Ministry of Religious Affairs have access to funding programs and financial aid from the regency government. This initiative allows madrasah diniyah to address and resolve some of their operational challenges.

1. **Background of Regional Regulation No. 7 of 2019 on Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah**

The enactment of Sampang Regency Regional Regulation No. 7 of 2019 on Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah was not an arbitrary decision but was shaped by social, political, legal, and other processes. This regulation emerged as a public policy in response to existing social and political realities. The regulation was the outcome of a political process involving multiple stakeholders, from political institutions to the wider community. It holds legal standing as a reference point for the Sampang Regency Government in implementing programs related to madrasah diniyah. Several key factors contributed to the issuance of this regulation, including: Implementation of Government Decentralization, Implementation of New Public Management, Responses to Problem Identification, Community Needs, and Aspirations, and The Reality of Madrasah Diniyah. Each of these factors is explained in detail below:

**3.1. Implementation of Government Decentralization**

According to Cheema and Rondinelli, “Decentralization is defined as the transfer of authority, responsibility, and resources through deconcentration, delegation, or devolution from the center to lower levels of administration” (Cheema dan Rondinelli 2007). Veliz, as cited by Maria C. Escobar-Lemmon, states that “Decentralization is something of an ironic policy. It requires the national government to give up power to subnational governments and to unmake the ‘centralist tradition’” (Lemmon 2006).

In Indonesia, Law No. 23 of 2014 on Regional Governance defines decentralization as the transfer of governmental affairs from the central government to autonomous regions based on the principle of autonomy. This system acknowledges the authority of local governments in policymaking, recognizing their potential and capabilities while involving local representatives in governance and development. Through this process, regional governments exercise their rights and responsibilities in a democratic society (Huda 2013).

Decentralization serves multiple objectives—not only for the broader public interest but also as a strategic tool for political elites to gain influence and opportunities. Escobar-Lemmon argues that “National governments (both executives and legislatures) saw decentralization as one way to regain popular support by creating new opportunities for participation and fostering greater accountability” (Lemmon 2006). Escobar-Lemmon further outlines two key reasons why administrative decentralization may be more advantageous than other forms of decentralization: Firstly, By making local governments responsible for public services (such as healthcare), central governments can avoid direct blame for service reductions and shift responsibility for poor service quality. Secondly, High levels of administrative decentralization, combined with limited fiscal decentralization, allow central governments to retain control over how subnational governments provide these services (Lemmon 2006).

Falleti explains that the purpose of decentralization is to balance power between central and local governments in order to foster societal progress and welfare. She asserts that: “...articulates a sequential theory of decentralization in which she explains the form of decentralization in a country as a result of the order in which the reforms took place. In her view, "different outcomes for intergovernmental balance of power are less a result of the particulars of individual policy reforms than a product of the evolution of such reforms and of the type of actors they empower along the way"(Lemmon 2006). Atsukoi Toi emphasizes that decentralization should be evaluated based on its impact on social welfare: “If there is no improvement in the level of social welfare for local people, it cannot be said that the objective of decentralization has been fulfilled, even if authority has been transferred from the central government to local governments” (Toi 2010).

Cheema and Rondinelli highlight another important aspect of decentralization, particularly its financial implications: “Decentralization could increase the financial resources of local governments and provide the flexibility to respond effectively to local needs and demands.” (Cheema dan Rondinelli 2007). Similarly, Rentanida Renata Simatupang explains that decentralization is expected to improve allocative efficiency by tailoring services to better meet local needs: “The next exercise explores the determinants of changes in education outcomes, as decentralization is expected to improve allocative efficiency by bringing services that better respond to local needs.” (Simatupang 2010). From these perspectives, decentralization serves several key purposes: (a) For the central government, decentralization helps gain public support and enhance accountability, (b) It increases the efficiency and effectiveness of public services while maintaining central government oversight, (c) It balances the roles of central and regional governments in development, (d) It promotes social welfare, which is a more significant goal than merely transferring authority, (e ) It expands financial resources at the local level, and (f) It enhances allocative efficiency, ensuring that services are responsive to local needs. This framework justifies the authority of the Sampang Regency Government in managing its budget and developing its region. The allocation of the regional budget (APBD) for madrasah diniyah in Sampang Regency represents a formal implementation of local government authority in the era of decentralization.

Jalal and Mustafa, as cited in Sirozi, distinguish two concepts of educational decentralization: the first is Decentralization of policy authority, which involves transferring education policymaking power from the central government to local governments. The second is Decentralization of decision-making, which shifts educational decision-making from the government to the community. Both concepts indicate that the primary goal of educational decentralization is to enhance the quality of education (Sirozi 2010). Although madrasah diniyah is not part of the formal education system, the Sampang Regency Government recognizes its significant contribution to society—not only in the religious sphere but also in overall community development. As an educational institution, madrasah diniyah plays a crucial role in shaping individuals and fostering societal progress.

* 1. **Response to the Identification of Issues, Needs, and Aspirations**

To achieve the objectives of effective decentralization, Abdul Aziz and David D. Arnold emphasize that local governance involves several key responsibilities, including: (a) identifying public issues, needs, and aspirations; (b) effectively managing human and natural resources;(c) estimating the financial resources required; (d) formulating appropriate development strategies; (e) managing the potential of villages; (f) implementing standard operating procedures (SOPs); and (g) enforcing regulations (Aziz dan Arnold 2003). In this context, the Sampang Regency Government recognizes *Madrasah Diniyah* as a critical issue, a public need, and an aspiration of the people that must be addressed to ensure a conducive, effective, and efficient governance process in Sampang Regency.

From a theoretical perspective, Weingast argues that decentralization improves economic efficiency, stating that: “One implication of the expansive literature on fiscal federalism is that decentralization can be a way for governments to improve overall economic efficiency by leaving some functions to subnational governments” (Lemmon 2006). Hayek further supports this argument, asserting that local governments are more knowledgeable about their community’s needs, stating: “Local governments are closer to citizens and thus are best positioned to know what they want” (Lemmon 2006). Another impact of decentralization is in financial administration, where local governments benefit from revenue-sharing mechanisms, as not all financial activities remain under central government control. According to Atsukoi Toi: “Changes implemented in the administration of local government finances due to decentralization have contributed to the widening gap in revenue sharing” (Toi 2010). Therefore, local governments must enhance their capacity and administrative competencies to optimize their governance performance and maximize their objectives. Without such improvements, decentralization risks failing to deliver its intended benefits. Cheema and Rondinelli warn that: “Decentralization often fails because of low levels of administrative and management capacity in local governments and in civil society organizations” (Cheema dan Rondinelli 2007).

Decentralization also has significant implications for public political participation. In this era, communities actively participate in fundamental political decision-making processes, such as executive and legislative elections and the formulation of development policies. Cheema and Rondinelli state that: “The impacts of decentralization on citizen participation also vary from country to country depending on the type of decentralization used and the political situation in the country” (Cheema dan Rondinelli 2007).

Educational decentralization, therefore, does not solely yield positive outcomes. While it offers several advantages, it also presents challenges, such as disparities between schools and regions. If left unaddressed, these inequalities could escalate into broader social and political issues—both at the micro-level (individual schools) and macro-level (society at large)—ultimately hindering educational development (Sirozi 2010). Recognizing these risks, the Sampang Regency Government has implemented policies to ensure that the negative impacts of governmental decentralization are tightly controlled, minimized, or even eliminated altogether.

Based on the discussion above, the effects of decentralization can be categorized into: (a) Positive impact include; (1) Increased economic efficiency, (2) Financial benefits for local governments, including increased regional revenue (*Pendapatan Asli Daerah* or PAD), (3) Enhanced competence and management capacity in local government administration, and (4) Greater public political participation at the regional level. Whereas in negative impact is emergence of disparities between different regions and schools, leading to educational inequality.

The concept of decentralization has evolved from traditional *government* to broader *governance* approaches. Cheema and Rondinelli explain that governance includes not only governmental institutions but also the participation of non-governmental organizations and private sector actors. They state: “The concept of governance expanded to include not only government but also other societal institutions, including the private sector and civil associations” (Cheema dan Rondinelli 2007). Furthermore, they emphasize that good governance is characterized by transparency, representation, accountability, and public participation in decision-making processes: “Good governance came to be seen as transparent, representative, accountable, and participatory systems of institutions and procedures for public decision-making” (Cheema dan Rondinelli 2007). Thus, the Sampang Regency Government's initiative to implement policies on *Madrasah Diniyah* aligns with the principles of good governance, ensuring that the decentralization process supports social development, educational equity, and economic efficiency while maintaining accountability and inclusivity.

* 1. **Implementation of New Public Management (NPM)**

A concrete form of decentralization is the emergence of the concept of New Public Management (NPM). According to Cheema and Rondinelli, “New public management focused on making government mission-driven rather than rule-bound, results-oriented, enterprising, anticipatory, and customer-driven” (Cheema dan Rondinelli 2007). In other words, New Public Management (NPM) focuses on making government missions driven by rules, results-oriented, proactive, and responsive to the public (or customers). Cheema and Rondinelli further explain that: “As the concept of governance expanded, so did thinking about the rationale, objectives, and forms of decentralization. Decentralization now encompasses not only the transfer of power, authority, and responsibility within government but also the sharing of authority and resources for shaping public policy within society. In this expanding concept of governance, decentralization practices can be categorized into at least four forms: administrative, political, fiscal, and economic” (Cheema dan Rondinelli 2007). In this context, the Sampang Regency Government demonstrates that its policy responses to the issues, needs, and aspirations of the people represent a form of NPM implementation. The issuance of Regional Regulation No. 7 of 2019 on *Madrasah Diniyah Takmiliyah* is a real-world manifestation of the Sampang Regency Government's commitment and initiative to address educational challenges, particularly those faced by *Madrasah Diniyah*. This policy represents an effort to meet the needs of *Madrasah Diniyah*, which had previously been constrained, and responds swiftly to the aspirations arising from the pesantren (Islamic boarding schools) and *madrasah diniyah* communities in Sampang Regency.

As a concept, governance has expanded, as has the thinking about the rationale, objectives, and forms of decentralization. Decentralization now not only involves the transfer of power, authority, and responsibility within government but also includes sharing authority and resources to shape public policy within society. This evolving concept of decentralization can be categorized into at least four forms: administrative, political, fiscal, and economic. Political and fiscal devolution are two forms that are inseparable in the practice of decentralization. According to Cheema and Rondinelli: “Transferring power and authority from the central government to subnational administrative and local government units and opening the political process to widespread participation provide an institutional framework for local autonomy and empower communities to pursue local aspirations. Two dimensions of devolution, political and fiscal, are complementary. Political devolution provides a legal basis for the exercise of power at the local level and enables citizens to influence local policymaking and priority setting. Fiscal devolution assigns functions and revenues to subnational and local governments and the resources by which to implement local policies and programs” (Cheema dan Rondinelli 2007). Another form of decentralization is through partnerships and cooperation. Cheema and Rondinelli state: “Partnerships and other forms of cooperation among government agencies, civil society, and the private sector are being used to develop and expand energy and utility networks and services, extend transportation systems, construct and operate water and waste treatment facilities, and provide such basic services as primary health care, education, and shelter” (Cheema dan Rondinelli 2007). Thus, partnerships and various forms of cooperation among government agencies, civil society, and the private sector are used to develop and expand energy and utility networks, extend transportation systems, build and operate water and waste treatment facilities, and provide essential services such as primary health care, education, and shelter. Theoretically, decentralization can take two forms: political (or democratic) decentralization and administrative decentralization.

From the discussion above, it can be concluded that decentralization manifests in the following forms:(1) Deconcentration; (2) Devolution; (3) Delegation; (4) Development is not only carried out by local governments but also involves civil (private) sector partners; (5) The application of SOPs as a reflection of transparency, representation, accountability, and participatory systems, and (6) New Public Management (NPM). Referring to educational regulations and local governance, the educational decentralization implemented in the current era of regional autonomy is a combination of political (or democratic) decentralization and administrative decentralization. Local governments are given the authority to establish various agendas for the development of basic and secondary education in their regions, alongside the responsibility and authority to manage planning, management, finance, and other activities related to schools. However, key elements of education, such as pedagogy, curriculum, organization, and evaluation, remain under the jurisdiction of the central government (Sirozi 2010).

* 1. **The Social Presence of Madrasah Diniyah**

Another significant factor that prompted the Sampang Regency Government to issue its local regulation was the growing presence and role of madrasah diniyah as a social and educational institution. In this context, madrasah diniyah is not merely an entity responsible for delivering education or a formal learning institution, but also a vital social reality.

Madrasah diniyah grows and evolves in accordance with its place and proportion within society, meaning it both influences and is influenced by the surrounding community (Ikhwan dkk. 2019). These institutions have become focal points in society because their development is closely tied to the growth and transformation of the Sampang community itself.

This assertion is supported by clear indicators—particularly the significant number of madrasah diniyah operating in Sampang Regency. In 2016, there were 20,011 madrasah diniyah awwaliyah (elementary level) across East Java, and at that time, Sampang Regency alone was home to 1,268 madrasah diniyah—the highest number of such institutions in the entire province (Ismail 2017).

The number has continued to increase over the years. In 2022, Sampang Regency recorded a total of 1,954 madrasah diniyah, consisting of 1,325 awwaliyah/ula and 629 wustha institutions (K. K. Agama 2023). Compared to the 2016 figures, this reflects an increase of 706 institutions, or a 56% growth over six years.

Student and teacher (ustadz) data from madrasah diniyah in Sampang is equally noteworthy. In 2020, there were 156,182 students, including 124,455 awwaliyah students and 31,727 wustha students. By 2021, the number had grown to 160,394 students, with 127,668 awwaliyah and 32,726 wustha students. In 2022, the number further increased to 162,047 students, comprising 128,393 awwaliyah and 33,654 wustha students (K. K. Agama 2023).

In terms of teaching staff, there were 12,048 ustadz (teachers) in 2020, including 8,869 awwaliyah ustadz and 3,179 wustha ustadz. In 2021, this rose to 12,405 ustadz (9,047 awwaliyah, 3,358 wustha), and by 2022, the number reached 12,948 ustadz (9,469 awwaliyah, 3,479 wustha) (K. K. Agama 2023). A summary of these data can be presented in the following table:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Madrasah Diniya | Data of Institutions | 2020 | | 2020 | | 2020 | |
| Data of | | Data of | | Data of | |
| Student | Teacher | Student | Teacher | Student | Teacher |
| Awwaliyah | 1.325 | 124.455 | 8.869 | 127.668 | 9.047 | 128.393 | 9.469 |
| Wustha | 629 | 31.727 | 3.179 | 32.726 | 3.358 | 33.654 | 3.479 |
| Grand Total | 1.954 | 156.182 | 12.048 | 160.394 | 12.405 | 162.047 | 12.948 |

Table Data of Institutions, Students, and Teachers of *Madrasah Diniyah* in Sampang Regency (K. K. Agama 2023)

The data on students and teachers in madrasah diniyah from 2020 to 2022 clearly reveal a consistent upward trend. Year by year, both enrollment and staffing levels have grown—evidence that these institutions are not only surviving but thriving. This growth is not merely administrative; it reflects something deeper: madrasah diniyah have become a living, breathing part of the social landscape in Sampang.

Every academic year, approximately 160,000 students and 12,000 teachers are actively involved in madrasah diniyah. If we consider each student has two parents, that adds another 320,000 individuals. Likewise, teachers also come from families—adding roughly 24,000 more. Altogether, this means that nearly 350,000 people are directly connected to madrasah diniyah in Sampang Regency. When compared with the total population of the regency—976,020 people—this means that around 36% of Sampang’s citizens are part of the madrasah diniyah ecosystem. These are not just numbers—they are people, families, and communities engaged in and shaped by religious education.

This massive involvement helps explain why madrasah diniyah cannot be dismissed or ignored. Their influence is far-reaching, and their continued existence is critical to the social fabric of the region. Recognizing this, the Sampang Regency Government deemed it both reasonable and necessary to issue a strategic policy to safeguard the sustainability and continued growth of madrasah diniyah. Regional Regulation No. 7 of 2019 is one such policy—establishing a legal basis for developing programs that strengthen the role of madrasah diniyah as a driver of regional progress.

More than that, madrasah diniyah have drawn increased attention in response to another pressing issue: the low Human Development Index (HDI) in Sampang Regency. In 2019, Sampang’s HDI stood at 61.94, well below East Java Province’s average of 71.50 (Nursiyono 2020). This prompted a re-evaluation of local educational priorities, and madrasah diniyah were identified as key stakeholders in efforts to elevate education quality and, by extension, raise the HDI.By empowering these institutions, the government aims not only to nurture spiritual values but also to contribute to broader development goals. Over time, it is hoped that such initiatives will push the HDI of Sampang closer to provincial levels—perhaps reaching the 70-point mark and beyond.In this light, the reality of madrasah diniyah is not just statistical—it is strategic. Their continued recognition and support form the backbone of an inclusive, community-driven approach to education and development in Sampang Regency.

1. **Conclusion**

From the findings and discussion of this study it was concluded that Perdakab Sampang No. 7 of 2019 concerning madrasah diniyah takmiliya contains substances regarding: (a) Islamic religious education curriculum/material; (b) educator competence; (c) the welfare of educators; and (d) educational facilities and infrastructure and the background is: (a) Implementation of Government Decentralization; (b) Implementation of New Public Management; (c) Responses to Problem Identification Results, (d) People's Needs and Aspirations; and (e) Reality of Madrasah Diniyah.
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